# Scalable Mechanism Design for Multi-Agent Path Finding

Paul Friedrich, Yulun Zhang, Michael Curry, Ludwig Dierks, Stephen McAleer, Jiaoyang Li, Tuomas Sandholm, Sven Seuken Talk at IJCAI '24, 09 August 2024









# Competing for paths: a new domain

Combines

- large scale
- mechanism design: self-interested agents
- multi-agent path finding (MAPF): to calculate allocation

Traffic management: allocating

- road capacity to cars
- urban airspace to UAVs





# Not your usual MAPF setting

The usual MAPF setting is **cooperative**:

• A central allocator system knows all true agent's characteristics

Our setting is **non-cooperative**:

- The system queries agents for their characteristics
- Agents will lie if they can secure a faster route

Without incentive awareness: worse overall solutions!





# Problem summary

- Design mechanisms for allocating collision-free paths that are: • strategyproof: incentivize agents to be honest • efficient: find allocations with high consumer welfare
- - scalable

Up until now: "pick 2"...

## ... we can do all three!



# Why don't we just use auctions?

Mechanism designers love auctions, especially the VCG auction.

Ask agents how much they value the resource Find the allocation that's optimal for these reports

Many possible paths / allocations / vertex combinations to ask

Optimal MAPF is hard!

Charge clever payments that make lying unprofitable



**Optimal MAPF is** hard! (  $\times N$  )



# The solution: maximal-in-range (MIR)

Ask agents for their start & goal, value for arrival, cost for delay





## The mechanism is strategy-proof and individually rational, as long as agents cannot influence the range by misreporting!

## Our three MAPF mechanisms

### **P-CBS:** payment conflict-based search

**E-PBS:** exhaustive priority-based search



 Optimal MAPF • VCG payments "for free"



• Suboptimal, made strategyproof with MIR • VCG-based payments

## **MC-PP:** Monte-Carlo prioritized planning



- Order agents by priority in *m* random ways
- Trade off scalability and optimality by choosing m
- VCG-based payments "for free"



# Key takeaways

We highlight the **non-cooperative MAPF** domain

- Standard MAPF setting, large scale
- Self-interested agents

We make fast MAPF algos strategyproof with maximal-in-range (MIR):

- Ensure that the allocation is optimal within a **fixed range of outcomes**
- Add VCG-based payments at no computational cost
- Experiments on 2D & 3D MAPF benchmark maps validate claims

Future work:

- Apply maximal-in-range (MIR) to other scalable MAPF algos
- Find better ranges to improve suboptimality, e.g. using learning



# Scalable Mechanism Design for Multi-Agent Path Finding

Paul Friedrich, Yulun Zhang, Michael Curry, Ludwig Dierks, Stephen McAleer, Jiaoyang Li, Tuomas Sandholm, Sven Seuken Talk at IJCAI '24, 09 August 2024











## Our mechanisms on MAPF benchmarks





## MCPP: trade off scalability and optimality



# Our three MAPF mechanisms

### Allocation

## **PCBS:** payment conflict-based search

**EPBS:** exhaustive priority-based search

**MCPP:** Monte-Carlo prioritized planning



## Payments





$$p_1, p_2, ...$$



Purpose

- Optimal benchmark
- Slow, but parallelizable
- How to make suboptimal MAPF strategy-proof with MIR
- Payments are "free"
- Trade off scalability and optimality via choice of samples
- Parallelizable down to *n* times A\*







## Agent model & optimisation objective

Let  $d = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_N) \in D$  an allocation, i.e. a set of conflict-free paths

### **MAPF:**

- Minimise **sum-of-cost** (or "flowtime"):
- Minimize makespan:  $\arg \min(\max_{d \in D} | \pi_i^d)$

**Our model:** each agent reports a value for arrival  $v_i$  and cost per time travelled  $c_i$ 

• Maximise social welfare:  $\arg \max_{d \in D} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i - c_i |\pi_i^d|$ l=1

 $\rightarrow$  Generalisation of sum-of-cost, identical for v=0, c=1

$$\arg\min_{d\in D}\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\pi_i^d|$$

